

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Once again it is apparent that big business is jerking the strings on one of the governmental puppets it owns. I live in a very rural area, my flat rate for local service is excessively high and with the added on expenses imposed by the FCC most phone bills are higher than tv cable. This new tax on cell service will make it hard for the average american to keep one. My wife and I both work full time and combined salary is still less than \$50,000.00. Is anyone in federal government thinking about the bulk of the population? The masses that make little but are above the poverty level? Go ahead and kill another industry by pricing that will push average america away.

Jimmy Duncil p.o. box 61 Deane, Ky 41812

Sincerely,

Jimmy Duncil
p. o. box 61
Deane, Kentucky 41812